



StaderLabs – ETHx

Smart Contract Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: April 24th, 2023 – July 4th, 2023

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	6
CONTACTS	7
1 EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	8
1.1 INTRODUCTION	9
1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY	9
1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
2 RISK METHODOLOGY	11
2.1 EXPLOITABILITY	12
2.2 IMPACT	13
2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT	15
2.4 SCOPE	17
3 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	19
4 FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	20
4.1 (HAL-01) DENIAL OF SERVICE IN PERMISSIONLESSPOOL THROUGH A FORCED ETHER TRANSFER TO THE CONTRACT - MEDIUM(5.5)	22
Description	22
BVSS	25
Recommendation	25
Remediation Plan	25
4.2 (HAL-02) SLASHING OF A VALIDATOR CAN EXCEED THE ACTUAL PENALTY DUE - MEDIUM(5.0)	26
Description	26
BVSS	28
Recommendation	28
Remediation Plan	28

4.3	(HAL-03) STADERORACLE CONTRACT CAN BE DOSED BY A MALICIOUS TRUSTED NODE - MEDIUM(4.6)	30
	Description	30
	BVSS	32
	Recommendation	32
	Remediation Plan	32
4.4	(HAL-04) WRONG CHECK IN USERWITHDRAWALMANAGER.REQUESTWITHDRAW FUNCTION - LOW(3.7)	33
	Description	33
	Proof of Concept	35
	BVSS	35
	Recommendation	36
	Remediation Plan	36
4.5	(HAL-05) MISMANAGEMENT OF VALIDATOR STATUS LEADING TO POTENTIAL BLOCKING OF WITHDRAWALS - LOW(3.7)	37
	Description	37
	Code Location	37
	BVSS	37
	Recommendation	38
	Remediation Plan	38
4.6	(HAL-06) ABI.ENCODEPACKED() SHOULD NOT BE USED WITH DYNAMIC TYPES WHEN PASSING THE RESULT TO A HASH FUNCTION SUCH AS KECCAK256() - LOW(2.3)	39
	Description	39
	Code Location	39
	BVSS	41
	Recommendation	41

Remediation Plan	41
4.7 (HAL-07) COMPUTEWITHDRAWVAULTADDRESS AND COMPUTENODEELREWARD-VAULTADDRESS MAY RETURN INCORRECT ADDRESSES - LOW(3.7)	42
Description	42
BVSS	44
Recommendation	44
Remediation Plan	44
4.8 (HAL-08) VAULTPROXY IS SUBJECT TO STORAGE COLLISIONS - LOW(3.7)	45
Description	45
BVSS	46
Recommendation	46
Remediation Plan	47
4.9 (HAL-09) CHAINLINK'S LATESTROUNDDATA MIGHT RETURN STALE OR INCORRECT RESULTS - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	48
Description	48
BVSS	49
Recommendation	49
Remediation Plan	50
4.10 (HAL-10) FLOATING PRAGMA - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	51
Description	51
Code Location	51
BVSS	52
Recommendation	53
Remediation Plan	53

4.11 (HAL-11) LACK OF ENFORCEMENT ON MINIMUM NUMBER OF TRUSTED NODES - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	54
Description	54
Code Location	54
BVSS	55
Recommendation	55
Remediation Plan	55
4.12 (HAL-12) TYPO ON THE EVENT - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	56
Description	56
Code Location	56
BVSS	56
Recommendation	56
Remediation Plan	57
4.13 (HAL-13) LOOP OPTIMIZATION - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	58
Description	58
Code Location	58
BVSS	58
Recommendation	58
Remediation Plan	59
4.14 (HAL-14) MISSING/INCOMPLETE NATSPEC COMMENTS - INFORMATIONAL(0.0)	60
Description	60
Code Location	60
BVSS	60
Recommendation	60
Remediation Plan	60
5 RECOMMENDATIONS OVERVIEW	61

6	AUTOMATED TESTING	63
6.1	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	64
	Description	64
	Slither results	64
6.2	AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN	71
	Description	71
	MythX results	71

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	04/24/2023	Roberto Reigada
0.2	Document Updates	05/12/2023	Roberto Reigada
0.3	Draft Review	05/13/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
0.4	Draft Review	05/15/2023	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	05/30/2023	Roberto Reigada
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	05/30/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
1.2	Remediation Plan Review	06/01/2023	Gabi Urrutia
2.0	Document Updates	06/27/2023	Roberto Reigada
2.1	Document Updates	06/28/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
2.2	Document Updates Review	06/28/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
2.3	Document Updates Review	06/29/2023	Gabi Urrutia
3.0	Remediation Plan	06/30/2023	Roberto Reigada
3.1	Remediation Plan Review	07/04/2023	Gokberk Gulgun
3.2	Remediation Plan Review	07/04/2023	Gabi Urrutia

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Gokberk Gulgun	Halborn	Gokberk.Gulgun@halborn.com
Roberto Reigada	Halborn	Roberto.Reigada@halborn.com



EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

StaderLabs engaged Halborn to conduct a security audit on their smart contracts beginning on April 24th, 2023 and ending on May 12th, 2023. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided in the GitHub repository [stader-labs/ethx](https://github.com/stader-labs/ethx).

1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided three weeks for the engagement and assigned two full-time security engineers to audit the security of the smart contracts. The security engineers are blockchain and smart-contract security experts with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit is to:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts.

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were mostly addressed by the [StaderLabs team](#).

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this audit. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the code and can quickly identify items that do not follow the security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used during the audit:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough.
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions. ([solgraph](#))
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing by custom scripts.
- Scanning of solidity files for vulnerabilities, security hot-spots or bugs. ([MythX](#))
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions. ([Slither](#))
- Testnet deployment. ([Foundry](#))

2. RISK METHODOLOGY

Every vulnerability and issue observed by Halborn is ranked based on **two sets of Metrics** and a **Severity Coefficient**. This system is inspired by the industry standard Common Vulnerability Scoring System.

The two **Metric sets** are: **Exploitability** and **Impact**. **Exploitability** captures the ease and technical means by which vulnerabilities can be exploited and **Impact** describes the consequences of a successful exploit.

The **Severity Coefficients** is designed to further refine the accuracy of the ranking with two factors: **Reversibility** and **Scope**. These capture the impact of the vulnerability on the environment as well as the number of users and smart contracts affected.

The final score is a value between 0-10 rounded up to 1 decimal place and 10 corresponding to the highest security risk. This provides an objective and accurate rating of the severity of security vulnerabilities in smart contracts.

The system is designed to assist in identifying and prioritizing vulnerabilities based on their level of risk to address the most critical issues in a timely manner.

2.1 EXPLOITABILITY

Attack Origin (AO):

Captures whether the attack requires compromising a specific account.

Attack Cost (AC):

Captures the cost of exploiting the vulnerability incurred by the attacker relative to sending a single transaction on the relevant blockchain. Includes but is not limited to financial and computational cost.

Attack Complexity (AX):

Describes the conditions beyond the attacker's control that must exist in order to exploit the vulnerability. Includes but is not limited to macro situation, available third-party liquidity and regulatory challenges.

Metrics:

Exploitability Metric (m_E)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Attack Origin (AO)	Arbitrary (AO:A)	1
	Specific (AO:S)	0.2
Attack Cost (AC)	Low (AC:L)	1
	Medium (AC:M)	0.67
	High (AC:H)	0.33
Attack Complexity (AX)	Low (AX:L)	1
	Medium (AX:M)	0.67
	High (AX:H)	0.33

Exploitability E is calculated using the following formula:

$$E = \prod m_e$$

2.2 IMPACT

Confidentiality (C):

Measures the impact to the confidentiality of the information resources managed by the contract due to a successfully exploited vulnerability. Confidentiality refers to limiting access to authorized users only.

Integrity (I):

Measures the impact to integrity of a successfully exploited vulnerability. Integrity refers to the trustworthiness and veracity of data stored and/or processed on-chain. Integrity impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield records is excluded.

Availability (A):

Measures the impact to the availability of the impacted component resulting from a successfully exploited vulnerability. This metric refers to smart contract features and functionality, not state. Availability impact directly affecting Deposit or Yield is excluded.

Deposit (D):

Measures the impact to the deposits made to the contract by either users or owners.

Yield (Y):

Measures the impact to the yield generated by the contract for either users or owners.

Metrics:

Impact Metric (m_I)	Metric Value	Numerical Value
Confidentiality (C)	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
Integrity (I)	None (I:N)	0
	Low (I:L)	0.25
	Medium (I:M)	0.5
	High (I:H)	0.75
	Critical (I:C)	1
Availability (A)	None (A:N)	0
	Low (A:L)	0.25
	Medium (A:M)	0.5
	High (A:H)	0.75
	Critical	1
Deposit (D)	None (D:N)	0
	Low (D:L)	0.25
	Medium (D:M)	0.5
	High (D:H)	0.75
	Critical (D:C)	1
Yield (Y)	None (Y:N)	0
	Low (Y:L)	0.25
	Medium: (Y:M)	0.5
	High: (Y:H)	0.75
	Critical (Y:H)	1

Impact I is calculated using the following formula:

$$I = \max(m_I) + \frac{\sum m_I - \max(m_I)}{4}$$

2.3 SEVERITY COEFFICIENT

Reversibility (R):

Describes the share of the exploited vulnerability effects that can be reversed. For upgradeable contracts, assume the contract private key is available.

Scope (S):

Captures whether a vulnerability in one vulnerable contract impacts resources in other contracts.

Coefficient (C)	Coefficient Value	Numerical Value
Reversibility (r)	None (R:N)	1
	Partial (R:P)	0.5
	Full (R:F)	0.25
Scope (s)	Changed (S:C)	1.25
	Unchanged (S:U)	1

Severity Coefficient C is obtained by the following product:

$$C = rs$$

The Vulnerability Severity Score S is obtained by:

$$S = \min(10, EIC * 10)$$

The score is rounded up to 1 decimal places.

Severity	Score Value Range
Critical	9 - 10
High	7 - 8.9
Medium	4.5 - 6.9
Low	2 - 4.4
Informational	0 - 1.9

2.4 SCOPE

1. IN-SCOPE TREE & COMMIT :

The security assessment was scoped to the following smart contracts:

- Auction.sol
- ETHx.sol
- NodeELRewardVault.sol
- Penalty.sol
- PermissionedNodeRegistry.sol
- PermissionedPool.sol
- PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol
- PermissionlessPool.sol
- PoolSelector.sol
- PoolUtils.sol
- SDCollateral.sol
- SocializingPool.sol
- StaderConfig.sol
- StaderInsuranceFund.sol
- StaderOracle.sol
- StaderStakePoolsManager.sol
- UserWithdrawalManager.sol
- ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol
- VaultFactory.sol
- UtilLib.sol

Commit ID #1:

- [eb9140b5b7779be3942e5bbcc8f52ebb33bf0df9](#)

Commit ID #2:

- [4e63182f3dc6ab6572a2ab2c3cfc183f81f1507d](#)

Commit ID #3:

- 21d516c32a0dd8742a97e4ec0689f08df706fa54

2. REMEDIATION & COMMIT :

Commit ID #1:

- 9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0

3. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	3	5	6

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDATION DATE
DENIAL OF SERVICE IN PERMISSIONLESSPOOL THROUGH A FORCED ETHER TRANSFER TO THE CONTRACT	Medium (5.5)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
SLASHING OF A VALIDATOR CAN EXCEED THE ACTUAL PENALTY DUE	Medium (5.0)	PARTIALLY SOLVED - 06/30/2023
STADERORACLE CONTRACT CAN BE DOSED BY A MALICIOUS TRUSTED NODE	Medium (4.6)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
WRONG CHECK IN USERWITHDRAWALMANAGER.REQUESTWITHDRAW FUNCTION	Low (3.7)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
MISMANAGEMENT OF VALIDATOR STATUS LEADING TO POTENTIAL BLOCKING OF WITHDRAWALS	Low (3.7)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
ABI.ENCODEPACKED() SHOULD NOT BE USED WITH DYNAMIC TYPES WHEN PASSING THE RESULT TO A HASH FUNCTION SUCH AS KECCAK256()	Low (2.3)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
COMPUTEWITHDRAWVAULTADDRESS AND COMPUTENODEELREWARDVAULTADDRESS MAY RETURN INCORRECT ADDRESSES	Low (3.7)	SOLVED - 06/27/2023
VAULTPROXY IS SUBJECT TO STORAGE COLLISIONS	Low (3.7)	SOLVED - 06/30/2023
CHAINLINK'S LATESTROUNDDATA MIGHT RETURN STALE OR INCORRECT RESULTS	Informational (0.0)	ACKNOWLEDGED
FLOATING PRAGMA	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
LACK OF ENFORCEMENT ON MINIMUM NUMBER OF TRUSTED NODES	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
TYPO ON THE EVENT	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
LOOP OPTIMIZATION	Informational (0.0)	SOLVED - 05/30/2023
MISSING/INCOMPLETE NATSPEC COMMENTS	Informational (0.0)	ACKNOWLEDGED



FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

4.1 (HAL-01) DENIAL OF SERVICE IN PERMISSIONLESSPOOL THROUGH A FORCED ETHER TRANSFER TO THE CONTRACT - MEDIUM (5.5)

Description:

In the `PermissionlessPool` contract, the function `stakeUserETHToBeaconChain()` receives Ether from the pool manager and then deposits it on the beacon chain:

Listing 1: `PermissionlessPool.sol` (Line 155)

```
125 /**
126  * @notice receives eth from pool manager to deposit for
127  *   ↳ validators on beacon chain
128  * @dev deposit validator taking care of pool capacity
129  */
130 function stakeUserETHToBeaconChain() external payable override
131   ↳ nonReentrant {
132     UtilLib.onlyStaderContract(msg.sender, staderConfig,
133     ↳ staderConfig.STAKE_POOL_MANAGER());
134     uint256 requiredValidators = msg.value / (staderConfig.
135     ↳ getFullDepositSize() - DEPOSIT_NODE_BOND);
136     address nodeRegistryAddress = staderConfig.
137     ↳ getPermissionlessNodeRegistry();
138     IPermissionlessNodeRegistry(nodeRegistryAddress).
139     ↳ transferCollateralToPool(
140     ↳   requiredValidators * DEPOSIT_NODE_BOND
141     );
142
143     address vaultFactoryAddress = staderConfig.getVaultFactory();
144     address ethDepositContract = staderConfig.
145     ↳ getETHDepositContract();
146     uint256 depositQueueStartIndex = IPermissionlessNodeRegistry(
147     ↳ nodeRegistryAddress).nextQueuedValidatorIndex();
148     for (uint256 i = depositQueueStartIndex; i <
149     ↳ requiredValidators + depositQueueStartIndex; i++) {
150       uint256 validatorId = IPermissionlessNodeRegistry(
151     ↳ nodeRegistryAddress).queuedValidators(i);
```

```

142     fullDepositOnBeaconChain(
143         nodeRegistryAddress,
144         vaultFactoryAddress,
145         ethDepositContract,
146         validatorId,
147         staderConfig.getFullDepositSize()
148     );
149 }
150 IPermissionlessNodeRegistry(nodeRegistryAddress).
    ↳ updateNextQueuedValidatorIndex(
151     depositQueueStartIndex + requiredValidators
152 );
153 IPermissionlessNodeRegistry(nodeRegistryAddress).
    ↳ increaseTotalActiveValidatorCount(requiredValidators);
154 // balance must be 0 at this point
155 assert(address(this).balance == 0);
156 }

```

The `assert` statement is used to check that a certain condition is true, and if it is not, it will cause the contract to revert. In this case, the condition being checked is whether the balance of the contract is equal to 0, once the core logic of the `stakeUserETHToBeaconChain()` was executed. However, this asserts statement does not consider that the contract can receive Ether through, for example, a self-destruct transfer.

Based on this, a malicious user could:

1. Deploy the following contract:

Listing 2: Attack.sol (Lines 42,47)

```

1 contract Attack {
2     address PermissionlessPool;
3
4     constructor(address _permissionlessPool) {
5         PermissionlessPool = _permissionlessPool;
6     }
7
8     function attack() public payable {
9         address payable addr = payable(address(PermissionlessPool)
↳ );
10         selfdestruct(addr);
11     }

```


BVSS:

A0:A/AC:M/AX:L/C:N/I:H/A:C/D:M/Y:N/R:P/S:C (5.5)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to remove the `assert(address(this).balance == 0)` from the `stakeUserETHToBeaconChain()` function.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `StaderLabs` team solved the issue by removing the `assert` condition in the following commit ID:

Commit ID : `9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0`.

4.2 (HAL-02) SLASHING OF A VALIDATOR CAN EXCEED THE ACTUAL PENALTY DUE - MEDIUM (5.0)

Description:

The key problem is present in the `settleFunds()` function in the `ValidatorWithdrawalVault` contract, where the `penaltyAmount` is calculated and compared with `operatorShare`. If `operatorShare` is less than `penaltyAmount`, the function `slashValidatorSD` is called to make up for the deficit in `SDCollateral`:

Listing 3: `ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol` (Lines 66-69)

```

54 function settleFunds() external override {
55     uint8 poolId = VaultProxy(payable(address(this))).poolId();
56     uint256 validatorId = VaultProxy(payable(address(this))).id();
57     IStaderConfig staderConfig = VaultProxy(payable(address(this))
↳ ).staderConfig();
58     address nodeRegistry = IPoolUtils(staderConfig.getPoolUtils())
↳ .getNodeRegistry(poolId);
59     if (msg.sender != nodeRegistry) {
60         revert CallerNotNodeRegistryContract();
61     }
62     (uint256 userSharePrelim, uint256 operatorShare, uint256
↳ protocolShare) = calculateValidatorWithdrawalShare();
63
64     uint256 penaltyAmount = getUpdatedPenaltyAmount(poolId,
↳ validatorId, staderConfig);
65
66     if (operatorShare < penaltyAmount) {
67         ISDCollateral(staderConfig.getSDCollateral()).
↳ slashValidatorSD(validatorId, poolId);
68         penaltyAmount = operatorShare;
69     }
70
71     uint256 userShare = userSharePrelim + penaltyAmount;
72     operatorShare = operatorShare - penaltyAmount;
73
74     // Final settlement

```

```

75     vaultSettleStatus = true;
76     IPenalty(staderConfig.getPenaltyContract()).
↳ markValidatorSettled(poolId, validatorId);
77     IStaderStakePoolManager(staderConfig.getStakePoolManager()).
↳ receiveWithdrawVaultUserShare{value: userShare}();
78     UtilLib.sendValue(payable(staderConfig.getStaderTreasury()),
↳ protocolShare);
79     IOperatorRewardsCollector(staderConfig.
↳ getOperatorRewardsCollector()).depositFor{value: operatorShare}(
80         getOperatorAddress(poolId, validatorId, staderConfig)
81     );
82     emit SettledFunds(userShare, operatorShare, protocolShare);
83 }

```

The main concern is the implementation of the `slashValidatorSD()` function:

Listing 4: `SDCollateral.sol` (Lines 80,89)

```

72 /// @notice slashes one validator equi. SD amount
73 /// @dev callable only by respective withdrawVaults
74 /// @param _validatorId validator SD collateral to slash
75 function slashValidatorSD(uint256 _validatorId, uint8 _poolId)
↳ external override nonReentrant {
76     address operator = UtilLib.getOperatorForValidSender(_poolId,
↳ _validatorId, msg.sender, staderConfig);
77     isPoolThresholdValid(_poolId);
78     PoolThresholdInfo storage poolThreshold =
↳ poolThresholdbyPoolId[_poolId];
79     uint256 sdToSlash = convertETHToSD(poolThreshold.minThreshold)
↳ ;
80     slashSD(operator, sdToSlash);
81 }
82
83 /// @notice used to slash operator SD, in case of operator default
84 /// @dev do provide SD approval to auction contract using `
↳ maxApproveSD()`
85 /// @param _operator which operator SD collateral to slash
86 /// @param _sdToSlash amount of SD to slash
87 function slashSD(address _operator, uint256 _sdToSlash) internal {
88     uint256 sdBalance = operatorSDBalance[_operator];
89     uint256 sdSlashed = Math.min(_sdToSlash, sdBalance);
90     if (sdSlashed == 0) {

```

```

91     return;
92 }
93 operatorSDBalance[_operator] -= sdSlashed;
94 IAuction(staderConfig.getAuctionContract()).createLot(
↳ sdSlashed);
95     emit SDSlashed(_operator, staderConfig.getAuctionContract(),
↳ sdSlashed);
96 }

```

It calculates `sdToSlash` as the minimum threshold of the pool (`poolThreshold.minThreshold`) rather than the actual deficit between `operatorShare` and `penaltyAmount`. The implementation of `slashSD()` then reduces the operator's SD balance by the minimum of `sdToSlash` and the operator's SD balance. This could result in slashing more SD tokens than required, in particular when the difference between `operatorShare` and `penaltyAmount` is smaller than `poolThreshold.minThreshold`.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:U (5.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to pass the actual deficit between `operatorShare` and `penaltyAmount` to the `slashValidatorSD()` function and compare it with `poolThreshold.minThreshold` using `Math.min`. This change would ensure that the amount of SD tokens slashed accurately reflects the actual penalty, protecting validators from unnecessary losses.

Remediation Plan:

PARTIALLY SOLVED: The team at `Stader` has recognized the concern highlighted. They confirm that this is an expected conduct of the system. In instances where the penalty surpasses `operatorShare`, an amount of SD equivalent to 0.4ETH is deducted. This procedure has been adequately communicated to all [Node Operators](#).

It is also important to note that such a penalty does not have an impact on the funds staked by users.

4.3 (HAL-03) STADERORACLE CONTRACT CAN BE DOSED BY A MALICIOUS TRUSTED NODE - MEDIUM (4.6)

Description:

The `StaderOracle` contract implements the `submitSDPrice()` function:

Listing 5: `StaderOracle.sol` (Lines 244-255)

```

224 function submitSDPrice(SDPriceData calldata _sdPriceData) external
    ↳ override trustedNodeOnly {
225     if (_sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber >= block.number) {
226         revert ReportingFutureBlockData();
227     }
228     if (_sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber % updateFrequencyMap[
    ↳ SD_PRICE_UF] > 0) {
229         revert InvalidReportingBlock();
230     }
231     if (_sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber <=
    ↳ lastReportedSDPriceData.reportingBlockNumber) {
232         revert ReportingPreviousCycleData();
233     }
234
235     // Get submission keys
236     bytes32 nodeSubmissionKey = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(msg.
    ↳ sender, _sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber));
237     bytes32 submissionCountKey = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(
    ↳ _sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber));
238     uint8 submissionCount = attestSubmission(nodeSubmissionKey,
    ↳ submissionCountKey);
239     insertSDPrice(_sdPriceData.sdPriceInETH);
240     // Emit SD Price submitted event
241     emit SDPriceSubmitted(msg.sender, _sdPriceData.sdPriceInETH,
    ↳ _sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber, block.number);
242
243     // price can be derived once more than 66% percent oracles
    ↳ have submitted price
244     if ((submissionCount == (2 * trustedNodesCount) / 3 + 1)) {
245         lastReportedSDPriceData = _sdPriceData;

```

```

246     lastReportedSDPriceData.sdPriceInETH = getMedianValue(
↳ sdPrices);
247     uint256 len = sdPrices.length;
248     while (len > 0) {
249         sdPrices.pop();
250         len--;
251     }
252
253     // Emit SD Price updated event
254     emit SDPriceUpdated(_sdPriceData.sdPriceInETH,
↳ _sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber, block.number);
255 }
256 }

```

Once the 66% of the trusted nodes have submitted the price, the median value is calculated and then the total length of the `sdPrices` array is iterated in order to remove all its elements.

Firstly, this is highly inefficient, as the `delete` keyword could be used here instead.

Secondly, a malicious trusted node could perform the following exploit:

1. 2 trusted nodes are added to the `StaderOracle` contract by a manager.
2. The manager calls `StaderOracle.setSDPriceUpdateFrequency()` and sets it to 1.
3. `TrustedNode1` which is a malicious-trusted node, calls 80000 times the `submitSDPrice()` function as shown in the code snippet below:

Listing 6: Malicious trusted node calls (Line 9)

```

1 vm.startPrank(trustedNode1);
2 SDPriceData memory _sdPriceData;
3 uint256 txAmount = 80000;
4 for(uint256 i; i < txAmount; ++i){
5     _sdPriceData = SDPriceData({
6         reportingBlockNumber: block.number - (txAmount - i),
7         sdPriceInETH: 1 ether
8     });
9     contract_StaderOracle.submitSDPrice(_sdPriceData);

```



```

10 }
11 vm.stopPrank();

```

4. `TrustedNode2` now calls `submitSDPrice()` but the call reverts as the block gas limit is reached:

```

- [0] VM::startPrank(0xa9f161a2bAdD44f3fE45b91a044a9484872f1Dc4)
  - ()
  - [32460556] StaderOracle::submitSDPrice((17130047, 1000000000000000000))
    - emit SDPriceSubmitted(node: 0xa9f161a2bAdD44f3fE45b91a044a9484872f1Dc4, sdPriceInETH: 1000000000000000000, reportedBlock: 17130047, block: 17130048)
      - emit SDPriceUpdated(sdPriceInETH: 1000000000000000000, reportedBlock: 17130047, block: 17130048)
        - ()
    - [0] VM::stopPrank()
      - ()
  - ()

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:H/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:C/D:M/Y:N/R:N/S:C (4.6)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to use `delete` to delete all the elements of an array in the `StaderOracle.submitSDPrice()` function. This would reduce the gas costs greatly and would make this attack described 3 times pricier. A malicious trusted node would require more than 150000 transactions in order to perform this exploit.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `StaderLabs` team solved the issue by using the `delete` keyword to delete all the elements of the array. The issue was addressed in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.4 (HAL-04) WRONG CHECK IN USERWITHDRAWALMANAGER.REQUESTWITHDRAW FUNCTION - LOW (3.7)

Description:

The `UserWithdrawalManager` contract implements the `requestWithdraw()` function:

Listing 7: `UserWithdrawalManager.sol` (Lines 101,102,103,107)

```

95 /**
96  * @notice put a withdrawal request
97  * @param _ethXAmount amount of ethX shares to withdraw
98  * @param _owner owner of withdraw request to redeem
99  */
100 function requestWithdraw(uint256 _ethXAmount, address _owner)
    ↳ external override whenNotPaused returns (uint256) {
101     if (_owner == address(0)) revert ZeroAddressReceived();
102     uint256 assets = IStaderStakePoolManager(staderConfig.
    ↳ getStakePoolManager()).previewWithdraw(_ethXAmount);
103     if (assets < staderConfig.getMinWithdrawAmount() || assets >
    ↳ staderConfig.getMaxWithdrawAmount()) {
104         revert InvalidWithdrawAmount();
105     }
106     if (requestIdsByUserAddress[msg.sender].length + 1 >
    ↳ maxNonRedeemedUserRequestCount) {
107         revert MaxLimitOnWithdrawRequestCountReached();
108     }
109     IERC20Upgradeable(staderConfig.getETHxToken()).
    ↳ safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, (address(this)), _ethXAmount);
110     ethRequestedForWithdraw += assets;
111     userWithdrawRequests[nextRequestId] = UserWithdrawInfo(payable
    ↳ (_owner), _ethXAmount, assets, 0, block.number);
112     requestIdsByUserAddress[_owner].push(nextRequestId);
113     emit WithdrawRequestReceived(msg.sender, _owner, nextRequestId
    ↳ , _ethXAmount, assets);
114     nextRequestId++;
115     return nextRequestId - 1;
116 }

```

This function checks that `msg.sender` does not have too many requests not redeemed yet. Although, `msg.sender` can request a withdrawal on behalf of other user; hence, this check should be done in the `_owner` parameter, not `msg.sender` as shown below:

Listing 8: UserWithdrawalManager.sol (Line 1)

```
1 if (requestIdsByUserAddress[_owner].length + 1 >
↳ maxNonRedeemedUserRequestCount) {
2     revert MaxLimitOnWithdrawRequestCountReached();
3 }
```

With the current implementation, a malicious user could perform multiple small `requestWithdraw()` calls in order to DOS the claims of a user:

Listing 9: UserWithdrawalManager.sol (Line 175)

```
162 function claim(uint256 _requestId) external override nonReentrant
↳ {
163     if (_requestId >= nextRequestIdToFinalize) {
164         revert requestIdNotFinalized(_requestId);
165     }
166     UserWithdrawInfo memory userRequest = userWithdrawRequests[
↳ _requestId];
167     if (msg.sender != userRequest.owner) {
168         revert CallerNotAuthorizedToRedeem();
169     }
170     // below is a default entry as no userRequest will be found
↳ for a redeemed request.
171     if (userRequest.ethExpected == 0) {
172         revert RequestAlreadyRedeemed(_requestId);
173     }
174     uint256 etherToTransfer = userRequest.ethFinalized;
175     deleteRequestId(_requestId, userRequest.owner);
176     sendValue(userRequest.owner, etherToTransfer);
177     emit RequestRedeemed(msg.sender, userRequest.owner,
↳ etherToTransfer);
178 }
```

Listing 10: UserWithdrawalManager.sol (Line 202)

```

198 function deleteRequestId(uint256 _requestId, address _owner)
    ↳ internal {
199     delete (userWithdrawRequests[_requestId]);
200     uint256 userRequestCount = requestIdsByUserAddress[_owner].
    ↳ length;
201     uint256[] storage requestIds = requestIdsByUserAddress[_owner
    ↳ ];
202     for (uint256 i = 0; i < userRequestCount; i++) {
203         if (_requestId == requestIds[i]) {
204             requestIds[i] = requestIds[userRequestCount - 1];
205             requestIds.pop();
206             return;
207         }
208     }
209     revert CannotFindRequestId();
210 }

```

Proof of Concept:

1. Bob performs, 80000 `requestWithdraw()` calls and sets Alice as the owner.
2. Alice performs a `requestWithdraw()` call, setting herself as the owner.
3. `finalizeUserWithdrawalRequest()` is called.
4. Alice tries to call `claim()` to claim her latest `requestId`, but the call reverts as the block gas limit is reached.

```

[0] VM::startPrank(0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd0D850eC06A02E9b90)
+ (0)
- (0)
[33012882] UserWithdrawalManager::claim(80000)
+ (0)
- (0)
[0] vm::rollback(0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd0D850eC06A02E9b90)::fallback(value: 100)()
+ (0)
- (0)
emit RequestRedeemed(_sender: 0xE6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd0D850eC06A02E9b90, _recipient: 0xF6b3367318C5e11a6eED3Cd0D850eC06A02E9b90, _ethTransferred: 100)
+ (0)
- (0)
[0] VM::stopPrank()
+ (0)
- (0)

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:H/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:C/D:N/Y:M/R:N/S:U (3.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to update the `UserWithdrawalManager.requestWithdraw()` function as suggested.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `StaderLabs` team solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.5 (HAL-05) MISMANAGEMENT OF VALIDATOR STATUS LEADING TO POTENTIAL BLOCKING OF WITHDRAWALS – LOW (3.7)

Description:

In the `withdrawnValidators` function, there is a potential risk when an oracle incorrectly flags a validator in the `predeposit` or `initialized` status as fully withdrawn. The issue arises from the system's inability to send <32 ETH to a withdrawn validator.

Code Location:

[/PermissionedNodeRegistry.sol#L683-L689](#)

Listing 11

```
1     function isNonTerminalValidator(uint256 _validatorId) internal
↳ view returns (bool) {
2         Validator memory validator = validatorRegistry[
↳ _validatorId];
3         return
4             !(validator.status == ValidatorStatus.WITHDRAWN ||
5               validator.status == ValidatorStatus.FRONT_RUN ||
6               validator.status == ValidatorStatus.
↳ INVALID_SIGNATURE);
7     }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:H/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:C/D:N/Y:M/R:N/S:U (3.7)

Recommendation:

Consider using `isActiveValidator` instead of `isNonTerminalValidator`.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `StaderLabs` team solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : `9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0`.

4.6 (HAL-06) ABI.ENCODEPACKED() SHOULD NOT BE USED WITH DYNAMIC TYPES WHEN PASSING THE RESULT TO A HASH FUNCTION SUCH AS KECCAK256() – LOW (2.3)

Description:

Use `abi.encode()` instead, which will pad items to 32 bytes, which will prevent hash collisions (e.g. `abi.encodePacked(0x123,0x456) => 0x123456 => abi.encodePacked(0x1,0x23456)`, but `abi.encode(0x123,0x456) => 0x0...1230...456`). Unless there is a compelling reason, `abi.encode` should be preferred. If there is only one argument to `abi.encodePacked()` it can often be cast to `bytes()` or `bytes32()` instead.

Code Location:

StaderOracle.sol

```
- Line 110: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 119: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 179: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 190: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 236:
bytes32 nodeSubmissionKey = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(msg.sender,
_sdPriceData.reportingBlockNumber));
- Line 237:
bytes32 submissionCountKey = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_sdPriceData.
reportingBlockNumber));
- Line 291: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 303: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 366: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 374: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 437:
abi.encodePacked(msg.sender, _mapd.index, _mapd.pageNumber,
```



```
encodedPubkeys)
- Line 439:
bytes32 submissionCountKey = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_mapd.index,
_mapd.pageNumber, encodedPubkeys));
```

```
UtilLib.sol
- Line 134:
return sha256(abi.encodePacked(_pubkey, bytes16(0)));
```

```
SocializingPool.sol
- Line 168:
bytes32 node = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(_operator, _amountSD,
_amountETH));
```

```
PermissionedPool.sol
- Line 244:
bytes32 pubkey_root = sha256(abi.encodePacked(_pubkey, bytes16(0)));
- Line 246: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 247: sha256(abi.encodePacked(_signature[:64])),
- Line 248: sha256(abi.encodePacked(_signature[64:], bytes32(0))))
- Line 253: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 254:
sha256(abi.encodePacked(pubkey_root, _withdrawCredential)),
- Line 255:
sha256(abi.encodePacked(amount, bytes24(0), signature_root))
```

```
PermissionlessPool.sol
- Line 238:
bytes32 pubkey_root = sha256(abi.encodePacked(_pubkey, bytes16(0)));
- Line 240: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 241: sha256(abi.encodePacked(_signature[:64])),
- Line 242: sha256(abi.encodePacked(_signature[64:], bytes32(0))))
- Line 247: abi.encodePacked(
- Line 248:
sha256(abi.encodePacked(pubkey_root, _withdrawCredential)),
- Line 249:
sha256(abi.encodePacked(amount, bytes24(0), signature_root))
```

VaultFactory.sol

- Line 93:

```
return abi.encodePacked(bytes1(0x01), bytes11(0x0), address(
  _withdrawVault));
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:M/AX:M/C:H/I:L/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:P/S:C (2.3)

Recommendation:

Consider using `abi.encode` instead of `abi.encodePacked`.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [StaderLabs team](#) solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.7 (HAL-07)

COMPUTEWITHDRAWVAULTADDRESS AND COMPUTENODEELREWARDVAULTADDRESS MAY RETURN INCORRECT ADDRESSES – LOW (3.7)

Description:

When the `vaultProxyImplementation` address is updated by an admin, the `computeWithdrawVaultAddress()` and `computeNodeELRewardVaultAddress()` functions will return incorrect addresses as these functions use the `ClonesUpgradeable.predictDeterministicAddress(vaultProxyImplementation, salt)` library function to determine which address to return. Given the same `vaultProxyImplementation` and `salt` values, this function will always return the same address. However, the `vaultProxyImplementation` address can be changed by an admin call to `updateVaultProxyAddress()`, causing the returned addresses to point to non-existing contracts:

Listing 12: VaultFactory.sol (Line 95)

```
93 function updateVaultProxyAddress(address _vaultProxyImpl) external
↳ override onlyRole(DEFAULT_ADMIN_ROLE) {
94     UtilLib.checkNonZeroAddress(_vaultProxyImpl);
95     vaultProxyImplementation = _vaultProxyImpl;
96     emit UpdatedVaultProxyImplementation(vaultProxyImplementation)
↳ ;
97 }
```

The impact of this vulnerability can be quite severe. The `PermissionlessPool.preDepositOnBeaconChain()` function, which internally calls `VaultFactory.computeWithdrawVaultAddress()`, would deposit funds to the wrong address. This would effectively lock the funds in a non-recoverable manner as they are sent to non-existing contracts:

Listing 13: PermissionlessPool.sol (Line 95)

```

85 function preDepositOnBeaconChain(
86     bytes[] calldata _pubkey,
87     bytes[] calldata _preDepositSignature,
88     uint256 _operatorId,
89     uint256 _operatorTotalKeys
90 ) external payable nonReentrant {
91     UtilLib.onlyStaderContract(msg.sender, staderConfig,
↳ staderConfig.PERMISSIONLESS_NODE_REGISTRY());
92     address vaultFactory = staderConfig.getVaultFactory();
93     uint256 pubkeyCount = _pubkey.length;
94     for (uint256 i; i < pubkeyCount; ) {
95         address withdrawVault = IVaultFactory(vaultFactory).
↳ computeWithdrawVaultAddress(
96             INodeRegistry((staderConfig).
↳ getPermissionlessNodeRegistry()).POOL_ID(),
97             _operatorId,
98             _operatorTotalKeys + i
99         );
100         bytes memory withdrawCredential = IVaultFactory(
↳ vaultFactory).getValidatorWithdrawCredential(withdrawVault);
101
102         bytes32 depositDataRoot = this.computeDepositDataRoot(
103             _pubkey[i],
104             _preDepositSignature[i],
105             withdrawCredential,
106             staderConfig.getPreDepositSize()
107         );
108         //slither-disable-next-line arbitrary-send-eth
109         IDepositContract(staderConfig.getETHDepositContract()).
↳ deposit{value: staderConfig.getPreDepositSize()}(
110             _pubkey[i],
111             withdrawCredential,
112             _preDepositSignature[i],
113             depositDataRoot
114         );
115         emit ValidatorPreDepositedOnBeaconChain(_pubkey[i]);
116         unchecked {
117             ++i;
118         }
119     }
120 }

```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:H/AX:L/C:N/I:M/A:C/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to prevent the admin from updating the `vaultProxyImplementation`. This will ensure that the functions `computeWithdrawVaultAddress()` and `computeNodeELRewardVaultAddress()` always return correct addresses.

Another option is creating a mapping to store the historical values of `vaultProxyImplementation` against the addresses returned by `deployWithdrawVault()` and `deployNodeELRewardVault()`. This way, even if the `vaultProxyImplementation` address is updated, the contract can reference the correct implementation address at the time of deployment, preventing it from pointing to non-existing contracts.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The `Stader team` acknowledged the possible concern that might arise if updates to the `ETHx` smart contracts are not carefully executed in the future. To avoid any such complications, `Stader's DAO` will conduct a thorough examination of all future updates and will also seek multiple external audits. In the current contract setup, they've integrated several safety measures to avert any such issues. For instance, a feature like `updateVaultProxyAddress` is overseen by a time-delayed multi-signature mechanism, and they can pause the contracts when needed. These precautions are implemented to prevent potential issues from arising.

4.8 (HAL-08) VAULTPROXY IS SUBJECT TO STORAGE COLLISIONS - LOW (3.7)

Description:

The contract `VaultProxy` is a custom proxy implementation used by the StaderLabs team. This proxy will be used as the proxy to the `ValidatorWithdrawalVault` and `NodeELRewardVault` implementations.

VaultProxy storage

Name	Type	Slot	Offset	Bytes	Contract
<code>vaultSettleStatus</code>	<code>bool</code>	0	0	1	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>
<code>isValidatorWithdrawalVault</code>	<code>bool</code>	0	1	1	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>
<code>isInitialized</code>	<code>bool</code>	0	2	1	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>
<code>poolId</code>	<code>uint8</code>	0	3	1	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>
<code>id</code>	<code>uint256</code>	1	0	32	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>
<code>owner</code>	<code>address</code>	2	0	20	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>
<code>staderConfig</code>	<code>contract IStaderConfig</code>	3	0	20	<code>contracts/VaultProxy.sol:VaultProxy</code>

ValidatorWithdrawalVault storage

Name	Type	Slot	Offset	Bytes	Contract
<code>vaultSettleStatus</code>	<code>bool</code>	0	0	1	<code>contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol:ValidatorWithdrawalVault</code>

NodeELRewardVault storage

Name	Type	Slot	Offset	Bytes	Contract
------	------	------	--------	-------	----------

In the realm of smart contracts, and particularly in Solidity, it is essential to emphasize the consideration of storage handling when using proxy contracts.

Primarily, proxy contracts should ideally possess no storage of their own. The reason behind this stems from the fundamental purpose of a proxy contract, which is to delegate calls to an underlying logic contract, hence maintaining minimal functionality itself. This approach simplifies the upgradeability process, as changes to the logic contract do not necessitate modification to the storage layout of the proxy contract.

However, if a proxy contract does require its own storage, it is strongly recommended that the storage slots are positioned randomly or non-consecutively. This tactic mitigates the risk of collision with the

storage layout of the logic contract, thereby reducing the potential for critical issues.

Storage collision can occur when the proxy and logic contracts both attempt to access or modify the same storage slot. This can lead to unpredictable behavior, corrupt data, and in the worst-case scenario, make the contract vulnerable to exploits. The EVM does not differentiate storage spaces of different contracts in a delegatecall context. If the storage layouts are not carefully handled, writing to a storage location in the logic contract might unintentionally affect the state of the proxy contract, or vice versa.

With the current implementation of the `ValidatorWithdrawalVault` and `NodeELRewardVault` contracts, there is no issue as:

1. There is a storage collision in `VaultProxy` and `ValidatorWithdrawalVault` with the `vaultSettleStatus` state variable, although this is not a problem as both contracts save this state variable in exactly the same storage slot.
2. `NodeELRewardVault` uses no storage.

Although, if any of these contracts (`ValidatorWithdrawalVault` and `NodeELRewardVault`) were updated in the future, and they used new positions in their storage slots, a storage collision would occur. For this reason, the ideal practice is to strive for proxy contracts with no storage. If storage is unavoidable, it is crucial to ensure that the storage slots are organized randomly or non-consecutively to avoid potential storage collision.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:H/AX:L/C:N/I:M/A:C/D:N/Y:N/R:N/S:U (3.7)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to strive for proxy contracts with no storage. If storage is unavoidable, it is crucial to ensure that the storage slots

are organized randomly or non-consecutively to avoid potential storage collision, ensuring a more robust and secure smart contract architecture.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The **Stader team** has noted the highlighted concern and provided an explanation. The concern revolves around potential harm that could occur if updates are made to the **ETHx** smart contracts without proper consideration. To mitigate this risk, the **Stader DAO** will oversee any forthcoming updates and will obtain multiple audits to prevent such issues. It is important to note that under the present contract setup/configuration, this issue does not pose a concern.

4.9 (HAL-09) CHAINLINK'S LATESTROUNDDATA MIGHT RETURN STALE OR INCORRECT RESULTS - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

The `getPORFeedData()` function in the `StaderOracle` contract fetches the price of the assets from Chainlink aggregators using the `latestRoundData()` function:

Listing 14: `StaderOracle.sol` (Lines 646-649)

```

637 function getPORFeedData()
638     internal
639     view
640     returns (
641         uint256,
642         uint256,
643         uint256
644     )
645 {
646     (, int256 totalETHBalanceInInt, , , ) = AggregatorV3Interface(
        ↳ staderConfig.getETHBalancePORFeedProxy())
647         .latestRoundData();
648     (, int256 totalETHXSupplyInInt, , , ) = AggregatorV3Interface(
        ↳ staderConfig.getETHXSupplyPORFeedProxy())
649         .latestRoundData();
650     return (uint256(totalETHBalanceInInt), uint256(
        ↳ totalETHXSupplyInInt), block.number);
651 }

```

However, there are no checks on `roundID` or `timeStamp`. If there is a problem with Chainlink starting a new round and finding consensus on the new value for the oracle (e.g. Chainlink nodes abandoning the oracle, chain congestion, vulnerability/attacks on the Chainlink system) consumers of this contract may continue using obsolete data.

On the other hand, according to Chainlink's documentation, `latestRoundData()` does not raise an error if no response has been reached, but returns 0, in this case feeding an incorrect price to the `StaderOracle` contract.

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

It is recommended to add the following checks on the return data of the `latestRoundData()` function:

Listing 15: `StaderOracle.sol` (Lines 646-657)

```

637 function getPORFeedData()
638     internal
639     view
640     returns (
641         uint256,
642         uint256,
643         uint256
644     )
645 {
646     (uint80 baseRoundID, int256 totalETHBalanceInInt, , uint256
↳ baseTimestamp, uint80 baseAnsweredInRound) = AggregatorV3Interface
↳ (staderConfig.getETHBalancePORFeedProxy()
647         .latestRoundData());
648     require(totalETHBalanceInInt > 0, "ChainlinkPriceOracle:
↳ totalETHBalanceInInt <= 0");
649     require(baseAnsweredInRound >= baseRoundID, "
↳ ChainlinkPriceOracle: Stale price");
650     require(baseTimestamp > 0, "ChainlinkPriceOracle: Round not
↳ complete");
651     (baseRoundID, int256 totalETHXSupplyInInt, , baseTimestamp,
↳ baseAnsweredInRound) = AggregatorV3Interface(staderConfig.
↳ getETHXSupplyPORFeedProxy()
652         .latestRoundData());
653     require(totalETHXSupplyInInt > 0, "ChainlinkPriceOracle:
↳ totalETHXSupplyInInt <= 0");

```

```
654     require(baseAnsweredInRound >= baseRoundID, "  
↳ ChainlinkPriceOracle: Stale price");  
655     require(baseTimestamp > 0, "ChainlinkPriceOracle: Round not  
↳ complete");  
656     return (uint256(totalETHBalanceInInt), uint256(  
↳ totalETHXSupplyInInt), block.number);  
657 }
```

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The [StaderLabs team](#) acknowledged the concern raised with the following reason. Currently, the [Chainlink](#) implementation is not finalized. A toggle parameter currently prevents [ER updates](#) from [Chainlink](#). When observing production contracts, it is evident that [ER](#) is currently fetched more from Oracles than [Chainlink](#). The switch to [Chainlink](#) for [ER feeds](#) will only occur after thorough and extensive testing has been conducted.

4.10 (HAL-10) FLOATING PRAGMA - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags used during development and testing. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using another pragma. For example, an outdated pragma version might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively.

Code Location:

Auction.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

ETHx.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

NodeELRewardVault.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

Penalty.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

PermissionedNodeRegistry.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

PermissionedPool.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

PermissionlessPool.sol

- Line 2: `pragma solidity ^0.8.16;`

PoolSelector.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

PoolUtils.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

SDCollateral.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

SocializingPool.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

StaderConfig.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

StaderInsuranceFund.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

StaderOracle.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

StaderStakePoolsManager.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

UserWithdrawalManager.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

VaultFactory.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

UtilLib.sol
- Line 2: pragma solidity ^0.8.16;

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

Consider locking the pragma version in the smart contracts. It is not recommended to use a floating pragma in production.

For example: `pragma solidity 0.8.16;`

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [StaderLabs team](#) solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.11 (HAL-11) LACK OF ENFORCEMENT ON MINIMUM NUMBER OF TRUSTED NODES – INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

The current implementation of the `removeTrustedNode` function allows the removal of trusted nodes without enforcing a minimum number of trusted nodes that should always be present in the system. As a result, it is theoretically possible to remove all trusted nodes, which could lead to the failure of any system processes that rely on these nodes.

If all trusted nodes are removed, it could potentially bring the system to a halt, disrupting services and leading to a loss of trust among users. Furthermore, it could potentially make the system more vulnerable to attacks.

Code Location:

[StaderOracle.sol#LL84C14-L84C31](#)

Listing 16

```
1     function addTrustedNode(address _nodeAddress) external
↳ override {
2         UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(msg.sender, staderConfig);
3         UtilLib.checkNonZeroAddress(_nodeAddress);
4         if (isTrustedNode[_nodeAddress]) {
5             revert NodeAlreadyTrusted();
6         }
7         isTrustedNode[_nodeAddress] = true;
8         trustedNodesCount++;
9
10        emit TrustedNodeAdded(_nodeAddress);
11    }
12
13    /// @inheritdoc IStaderOracle
14    function removeTrustedNode(address _nodeAddress) external
```

```
↳ override {
15     UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(msg.sender, staderConfig);
16     UtilLib.checkNonZeroAddress(_nodeAddress);
17     if (!isTrustedNode[_nodeAddress]) {
18         revert NodeNotTrusted();
19     }
20     isTrustedNode[_nodeAddress] = false;
21     trustedNodesCount--;
22
23     emit TrustedNodeRemoved(_nodeAddress);
24 }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

Add a check to ensure that there are always a minimum number of trusted nodes in the system.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [StaderLabs team](#) solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.12 (HAL-12) TYPO ON THE EVENT - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

In the `updateBidIncrement` function, the event name `BidInrementUpdated` appears to have a typographical error. It seems like it should be `BidIncrementUpdated` instead of `BidInrementUpdated`. This can cause confusion and could lead to issues in event tracking or when using event logs for any kind of automation or tracking system.

Code Location:

[Auction.sol#L153](#)

Listing 17

```
1     function updateBidIncrement(uint256 _bidIncrement) external
↳ override {
2         UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(msg.sender, staderConfig);
3         bidIncrement = _bidIncrement;
4         emit BidInrementUpdated(_bidIncrement);
5     }
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

Typographical errors in code, especially in function or event names, should be fixed as soon as possible to prevent future issues. The corrected code should look like this:

Listing 18

```
1 function updateBidIncrement(uint256 _bidIncrement) external
↳ override {
2     UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(msg.sender, staderConfig);
3     bidIncrement = _bidIncrement;
4     emit BidIncrementUpdated(_bidIncrement); // Corrected event
↳ name
5 }
```

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [StaderLabs team](#) solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.13 (HAL-13) LOOP OPTIMIZATION – INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

When a loop iterates many times, it causes the amount of gas required to execute the function to increase significantly. In Solidity, excessive looping can cause a function to use more than the maximum allowed gas, which causes the function to fail.

Code Location:

PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol

- Line 135:

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < keyCount; i++){}
```

- Line 190:

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < readyToDepositValidatorsLength; i++){}
```

- Line 202:

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < frontRunValidatorsLength; i++){}
```

- Line 209:

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < invalidSignatureValidatorsLength; i++){}
```

- Line 228:

```
for (uint256 i = 0; i < withdrawnValidatorCount; i++){}
```

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

To reduce gas consumption, it is recommended to find ways to optimize the loop or potentially break the loop into smaller batches. The following pattern can also be used:

Listing 19

```
1 uint256 cachedLen = array.length;
2 for(uint i; i < cachedLen;){
3
4   unchecked {
5     ++i;
6   }
7 }
```

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The [StaderLabs team](#) solved the issue in the following commit ID.

Commit ID : [9c245db775127c29b18fa106145e5ccd68e7faa0](#).

4.14 (HAL-14) MISSING/INCOMPLETE NATSPEC COMMENTS - INFORMATIONAL (0.0)

Description:

The functions are missing `@param` for some of their parameters. Given that **NatSpec** is an important part of code documentation, this affects code comprehension, auditability, and usability.

Code Location:

Contracts

BVSS:

A0:A/AC:L/AX:L/C:N/I:N/A:N/D:N/Y:N/R:F/S:C (0.0)

Recommendation:

Consider adding in full **NatSpec** comments for all functions to have complete code documentation for future use.

Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The **StaderLabs team** acknowledged this issue.



RECOMMENDATIONS OVERVIEW

1. Remove the `assert(address(this).balance == 0)` from the `stakeUserETHToBeaconChain()` function.
2. Use `delete` to delete all the elements of an array in the `StaderOracle.submitSDPrice()` function.
3. Update the `UserWithdrawalManager.requestWithdraw()` function as suggested.
4. Lock the pragma version in all the smart contracts.
5. Code adjustments should be made in the `deactivateNodeOperator` and `_deactivateNodeOperator` functions to not only flag the operator as inactive, but also to remove its permissions from the `permissionList`. This will prevent the operator from being re-onboarded or performing actions that require permissions after deactivation, thereby enhancing the system's security.
6. To mitigate the potential risk in the `withdrawnValidators` function, it is crucial to enhance the system's ability to handle cases when a validator is incorrectly flagged as fully withdrawn in the `predeposit` or `initialized` status by an oracle. This could be done by implementing a verification mechanism to double-check the status of the validator before executing the withdrawal function.
7. To address the identified issue in the `requestWithdraw` function, it is crucial to revise the implementation to prevent the `lastWithdrawReqTimestamp` from being updated on every call. Rather, it should be set only on the first call within the `withdrawDelay` period. This could be achieved by adding a condition that checks if the `withdrawDelay` period has elapsed since the last request before updating the `lastWithdrawReqTimestamp`.
8. To rectify the potential issue with the `slashSD` function, it is advised to implement a check ensuring that the `maxApproveSD` function has been executed before creating an auction. This could be integrated directly into the `slashSD` function or included in a separate pre-auction validation process. By ensuring the auction contract has the necessary approval to spend SD tokens prior to auction creation, you can prevent potential auction failures and ensure the smooth operation of the system.



AUTOMATED TESTING

6.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the smart contracts in scope. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified the smart contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABIS and binary format, Slither was run against the contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

Slither results:

Auction.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#94-102) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getOperatorOrValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#64-70) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getPubkeyForValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#48-52) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#128-135) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorSetListStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#31-35) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#28-40) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Auction.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IBodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IPoolU1111.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderStakePoolManager.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/WithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
solc^0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Auction.withdrawalSelectBid(uint256) (contracts/Auction.sol#119-134):
  (success) = address(msg.sender).call(value: withdrawalAmount) {} (contracts/Auction.sol#130)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
```

ETHx.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#94-102) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getOperatorOrValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#64-70) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getPubkeyForValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#48-52) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#128-135) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorSetListStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#31-35) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#28-40) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/ETHx.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IBodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IPoolU1111.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderStakePoolManager.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
solc^0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
```

NodeELRewardVault.sol

```
INFO:Detectors:
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getHoboeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#94-102) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getOperatorOrValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#64-70) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getPubkeyForValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#48-52) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#128-135) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorSetListStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#31-35) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#28-40) is never used and should be removed
U1111b.getValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/U1111b.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
```



```

Reentrancy_in_PermissionlessNodeRegistry_markValidatorReadyToDeposit(bytes[],bytes[],bytes[]) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#175-215):
  External calls:
  - IStaderInstanceFund(staderConfig, getStaderInstanceFund(), depositFund(value, frontRunValidatorLength * FROM_RUN_PENALTY)() (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#198-200)
  - handleInvalidSignature(validatorId_scope_3) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#212)
  (success) = address(receiver).call(value, amount)() (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#62)
  State variables written after the call(s):
  - handleInvalidSignature(validatorId_scope_3) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#212)
  - validatorRegistry(validatorId) status = ValidatorStatus_INVALID (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#275)
PermissionlessNodeRegistry_validatorRegistry (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#44) can be used in cross function reentrancies:
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.getAllActiveValidators(uint256,uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#464-490)
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.isActiveValidator(uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#652-660)
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.isNotActiveValidator(uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#664-668)
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.markValidatorDeposited(uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#674-676)
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.updateDepositStatusOfNode(uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#256-261)
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.withdrawValidators(bytes[]) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#484)
  - PermissionlessNodeRegistry.withdrawValidators(bytes[]) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#222-238)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities
INFO:Detectors:
PermissionlessNodeRegistry.getAllActiveValidators(uint256,uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#464-490) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#485-487)
PermissionlessNodeRegistry.getAllSocializingPoolOperations(uint256,uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#500-527) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#522-524)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
PermissionlessNodeRegistry.addValidatorForKeys(bytes[],bytes[],bytes[]) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#120-164) has costly operations inside a loop:
  - nextValidatorId = (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#157)
PermissionlessNodeRegistry.validatorQueueSize = (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#959-963) has costly operations inside a loop:
  - validatorQueueSize = (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#962)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#costly-operations-inside-a-loop
INFO:Detectors:
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#94-103) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getOperatorForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyRoot(bytes) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.onlyValidatorWithdrawVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#91-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBoddyVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBoddyRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderInstanceFund.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IVaultFactory.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ISocialCollateralISocialCollateral.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#618-628):
  (success) = address(receiver).call(value, amount)() (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#624)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Variable PermissionlessNodeRegistry.markValidatorReadyToDeposit(bytes[],bytes[],bytes[]).validatorId_scope_1 (contracts/PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#203) is too similar to PermissionlessNodeRegistry.markValidatorReadyToDeposit(bytes[],bytes[],bytes[])
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-too-similar

```

PermissionlessPool.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
PermissionlessPool.fullDepositOnBaconChain(address,address,address,uint256,uint256) (contracts/PermissionlessPool.sol#254-283) sends eth to arbitrary user
  Dependent calls:
  - DepositContract_ethDeposit(contract).deposit(value, DEPOSIT_SIZE)(pubkey,withdrawCredential,depositSignature,depositDataRoot) (contracts/PermissionlessPool.sol#275-280)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#functions-that-send-eth-to-arbitrary-destinations
INFO:Detectors:
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#94-103) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getOperatorForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyRoot(bytes) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.onlyOperatorWithdrawVault(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#31-35) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.onlyValidatorWithdrawVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#91-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/PermissionlessPool.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBoddyRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBoddyRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderPoolBase.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IVaultFactory.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

```

PoolSelector.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
PoolSelector.poolAllocationForExcessETHDeposit(uint256) (contracts/PoolSelector.sol#73-105) has costly operations inside a loop:
  - poolIdByIndexForExcessDeposit = 1 (contracts/PoolSelector.sol#81)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#costly-operations-inside-a-loop
INFO:Detectors:
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#94-103) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getOperatorForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyRoot(bytes) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.onlyValidatorWithdrawVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#91-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/PoolSelector.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBoddyRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolSelector.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#2) allows old versions
Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

```

PoolUtils.sol

```

INFO:Detectors:
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#94-103) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getOperatorForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyForValidSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getPubkeyRoot(bytes) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#117-147) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.onlyStaderContractAddress(IStaderConfig,uint32) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#18-44) is never used and should be removed
UTILib.onlyValidatorWithdrawVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UTILib.sol#91-92) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

```

INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Pool/UtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IRewardRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IRewardRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderPoolStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

SDCollateral.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#94-101) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyForValidatorSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#135) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#84-86) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/SDCollateral.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IRewardRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderOracle.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/SDCollateral/Auction.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/SDCollateral/SDCollateral.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

SocializingPool.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 SocializingPool.handleRewards(RewardData) (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#60-101) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous call:
 - address(staderConfig.getStakePoolManager()).receiveExecutionLayerRewards(value:_rewardData.userETHRewards)() (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#83-85)
 - (success) = address(staderConfig.getStakeTreasury()).call{value:_rewardData.protocolETHRewards}() (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#87)
 SocializingPool.claim(uint256[],uint256[],bytes32[]|) (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#103-112) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous call:
 - (success, None) = address(operatorRewardAddr).call{value:_totalAmount}() (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#118)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#functions-that-send-eth-to-arbitrary-destinations>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#94-101) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyForValidatorSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#135) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#84-86) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IRewardRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderOracle.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderStakePoolManager.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Low level call in SocializingPool.handleRewards(RewardData) (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#60-101)
 - (success) = address(staderConfig.getStakeTreasury()).call{value:_rewardData.protocolETHRewards}() (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#87)
 Low level call in SocializingPool.claim(uint256[],uint256[],bytes32[]|) (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#103-112)
 - (success, None) = address(operatorRewardAddr).call{value:_totalAmount}() (contracts/SocializingPool.sol#118)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls>

StaderConfig.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#94-101) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyForValidatorSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#135) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#84-86) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IRewardRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Variable StaderConfig.AUCTION_CONTRACT (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#45) is too similar to StaderConfig.updateAuctionContract(address),_auctionContract (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#197)
 Variable StaderConfig.PENALTY_CONTRACT (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#46) is too similar to StaderConfig.updatePenaltyContract(address),_penaltyContract (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#205)
 Variable StaderConfig.PERMISSIONLESS_POOL (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#47) is too similar to StaderConfig.updatePermissionlessPool(address),_permissionlessPool (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#209)
 Variable StaderConfig.PERMISSIONLESS_POOL (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#50) is too similar to StaderConfig.updatePermissionlessPool(address),_permissionlessPool (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#217)
 Variable StaderConfig.SD_COLLATERAL (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#48) is too similar to StaderConfig.updateSDCollateral(address),_sdCollateral (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#189)
 Variable StaderConfig.STADER_ORACLE (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#49) is too similar to StaderConfig.updateStaderOracle(address),_staderOracle (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#201)
 Variable StaderConfig.STADER_TREASURY (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#48) is too similar to StaderConfig.updateStaderTreasury(address),_staderTreasury (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#175)
 Variable StaderConfig.WALL_FACTORY (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#48) is too similar to StaderConfig.updateWallFactory(address),_wallFactory (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#193)
 Variable StaderConfig.POOL_SELECTOR (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#48) is too similar to StaderConfig.updatePoolSelector(address),_poolSelector (contracts/StaderConfig.sol#185)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-too-similar>

StaderInsuranceFund.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 StaderInsuranceFund.reimburseSelfFund(uint256) (contracts/StaderInsuranceFund.sol#60-66) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous call:
 - (permissionsPool(staderConfig.getPermissionsPool()).receiveInsuranceFund(value:_amount)() (contracts/StaderInsuranceFund.sol#65)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#functions-that-send-eth-to-arbitrary-destinations>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#104-111) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getHodoeRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#94-101) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyForValidatorSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getPubkeyFor(bytes) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#135) is never used and should be removed
 UtlLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtlLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>

INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/StaderInsuranceFund.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBondRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/PermissionPool.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderInsuranceFund.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/Utils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationIncorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Low level call in StaderInsuranceFund.withdrawFund(uint256) (contracts/StaderInsuranceFund.sol#41-53):
 - (success) = (address) (contracts/StaderInsuranceFund.sol#44)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationLow-level-calls>

StaderOracle.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 StaderOracle.submitSDPrice(SDPriceData) (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#224-236) has costly operations inside a loop:
 - sDPices.pop() (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#240)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationCostly-operations-inside-a-loop>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#94-102) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getOperatorForAllSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#64-70) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getPubkeyForAllSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#68-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#121-135) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#89-85) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBondRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ISocializingPool.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderPoolBase.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationIncorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Variable StaderOracle.attestSubmission(bytes32,bytes32)_nodeSubmissionKey (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#573) is too similar to StaderOracle.nodeSubmissionKeys (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#38)
 Variable StaderOracle.attestSubmission(bytes32,bytes32)_submissionCountKey (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#573) is too similar to StaderOracle.submissionCountKeys (contracts/StaderOracle.sol#39)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationVariable-names-too-similar>

StaderStakePoolsManager.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 Reentrancy in StaderStakePoolsManager.depositETHOverTargetWeight() (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#220-247):
 External calls:
 - (selectedPoolCapacity,poolIdarray) = IPoolSelector(staderConfig.getPoolSelector()).poolAllocationForExcessETHDeposit(availableETHForNewDeposit) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#227-229)
 External calls sending eth:
 - (staderPoolBase(poolAddress),stakeUserETHBeaconChain(value: validatorToDeposit * poolDepositSize)) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#244)
 - (staderPoolBase(poolAddress),stakeUserETHBeaconChain(value: validatorToDeposit * poolDepositSize)) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#244)
 State variables written after the call(s):
 - depositPoolETH = validatorToDeposit * poolDepositSize (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#242)
 StaderStakePoolsManager.depositETHOverTargetWeight() (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#220-247) can be used in cross function reentrancies:
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.deposit(address,address,uint256,uint256) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#16-125)
 External calls receiving eth:
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.receiveETHFromPool(uint8) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#83)
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.receiveETHFromPool(uint8) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#83-86)
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.receiveExcessETHFromPool(uint8) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#92-95)
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.receiveETHFromPool(uint8) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#72-75)
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.receiveETHFromPool(uint8) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#78-81)
 - lastExcessETHDepositBlock = block.number (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#241)
 StaderStakePoolsManager.lastExcessETHDepositBlock (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#37) can be used in cross function reentrancies:
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.initialize(address,address) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#49-59)
 - StaderStakePoolsManager.lastExcessETHDepositBlock (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#37)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationReentrancy-vulnerabilities>
INFO:Detectors:
 StaderStakePoolsManager.depositETHOverTargetWeight() (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#220-247) has costly operations inside a loop:
 - lastExcessETHDepositBlock = block.number (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#241)
 StaderStakePoolsManager.depositETHOverTargetWeight() (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#220-247) has costly operations inside a loop:
 - depositPoolETH = validatorToDeposit * poolDepositSize (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#242)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationCostly-operations-inside-a-loop>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#94-102) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getOperatorForAllSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#64-70) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getPubkeyForAllSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#68-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getPubkeyRoot(bytes) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#128-135) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#121-135) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#89-85) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/ETH.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#8) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IBondRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolSelector.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderPoolBase.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/IStaderStakePoolsManager.sol#8) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationIncorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Low level call in StaderStakePoolsManager.transferETHtoUserWithdrawalManager(uint256) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#102-111):
 - (success) = address(staderConfig.getUserWithdrawalManager()).call(value:_amount) (contracts/StaderStakePoolsManager.sol#106)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationLow-level-calls>

UserWithdrawalManager.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 UserWithdrawalManager.finalizeUserWithdrawalRequest() (contracts/UserWithdrawalManager.sol#117-156) has costly operations inside a loop:
 - ethRequestedForWithdraw = requiredEth (contracts/UserWithdrawalManager.sol#145)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationCostly-operations-inside-a-loop>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getHodlRecipientAddressByValidatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#94-102) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getOperatorForAllSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#64-70) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getPubkeyForAllSender(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#68-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getPubkeyRoot(bytes) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#128-135) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.getOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#121-135) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#89-85) is never used and should be removed
 UtilsLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/library/UtilsLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/silther/wiki/Detector-DocumentationDead-code>

INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Ethx.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/NodeWithdrawalManager.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/NodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderOracle.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderStakePoolManager.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderWithdrawalManager.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Low level call in UseWithdrawalManager.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/UseWithdrawalManager.sol#212-222):
 - (success) = _recipient.call{value: _amount}() (contracts/UseWithdrawalManager.sol#218)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls>

ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 ValidatorWithdrawalVault.distributeRewards() (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#57-78) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous calls:
 - IStaderStakePoolManager(staderConfig.getStakePoolManager()).receiveWithdrawalVaultShare(value: userShare) (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#74)
 ValidatorWithdrawalVault.settleFunds() (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#80-103) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous calls:
 - IStaderStakePoolManager(staderConfig.getStakePoolManager()).receiveWithdrawalVaultShare(value: userShare) (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#99)
 ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#150-162) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous calls:
 - (success) = _recipient.call{value: _amount}() (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#157)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#functions-that-send-ether-to-arbitrary-destinations>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#104-113) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getOperatorForValidator(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getPubkeyForValidator(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getPubkeyFrom(bytes) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#25-29) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#25-29) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#38-46) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/NodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/Penalty.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderStakePoolManager.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderOracle.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Low level call in ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#150-162):
 - (success) = _recipient.call{value: _amount}() (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#157)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls>

VaultFactory.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 VaultFactory.distributeRewards() (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#57-78) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous calls:
 - IStaderStakePoolManager(staderConfig.getStakePoolManager()).receiveWithdrawalVaultShare(value: userShare) (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#74)
 VaultFactory.settleFunds() (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#80-103) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous calls:
 - IStaderStakePoolManager(staderConfig.getStakePoolManager()).receiveWithdrawalVaultShare(value: userShare) (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#99)
 VaultFactory.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#150-162) sends eth to arbitrary user
 Dangerous calls:
 - (success) = _recipient.call{value: _amount}() (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#157)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#functions-that-send-ether-to-arbitrary-destinations>
INFO:Detectors:
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getOperatorForValidator(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getPubkeyForValidator(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getPubkeyFrom(bytes) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#25-29) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#25-29) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#38-46) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/ModelRewardVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/VaultFactory.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Factory/VaultFactory.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/NodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/Penalty.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderStakePoolManager.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/VaultFactory.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderOracle.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>
INFO:Detectors:
 Low level call in NodeRewardVault.withdraw() (contracts/NodeRewardVault.sol#50-76):
 - (success, None) = address(staderConfig.getStaderTreasury()).call{value: protocolShare}() (contracts/NodeRewardVault.sol#63)
 - (success, None) = nodeRecipient.call{value: operatorShare}() (contracts/NodeRewardVault.sol#70)
 Low level call in ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#150-162):
 - (success) = _recipient.call{value: _amount}() (contracts/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#157)
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls>

UtilLib.sol

INFO:Detectors:
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddress(address) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#20-22) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddressByOperator(uint8,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#113-121) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#104-113) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getNodeRecipientAddressByOperatorId(uint8,uint256,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#104-113) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getOperatorForValidator(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#64-79) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getPubkeyForValidator(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#48-62) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getPubkeyFrom(bytes) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#28-33) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.getValidatorSettleStatus(bytes,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#137-147) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyManagerRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#25-29) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyOperatorRole(address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#25-29) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyStaderContract(address,IStaderConfig,bytes32) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#38-46) is never used and should be removed
 UtilLib.onlyValidatorWithdrawalVault(uint8,uint256,address,IStaderConfig) (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#81-92) is never used and should be removed
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>
INFO:Detectors:
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/NodeRegistry.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IPoolUtils.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/IStaderConfig.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Interfaces/ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/UtilLib.sol#2) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.8.16 (contracts/Library/ValidatorStatus.sol#2) allows old versions
 solc-0.8.16 is not recommended for deployment
 Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

• All the reentrancies flagged by Slither were checked individually

- and are false positives.
- No major issues found by Slither.

6.2 AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN

Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues and to identify low-hanging fruits on the targets for this engagement. Among the tools used was MythX, a security analysis service for Ethereum smart contracts. MythX performed a scan on the smart contracts and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to locate any vulnerabilities.

MythX results:

Auction.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
14	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
49	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
50	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
55	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
66	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
66	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	A control flow decision is made based on The block.number environment variable.
81	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
81	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	A control flow decision is made based on The block.number environment variable.
96	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
96	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	A control flow decision is made based on The block.number environment variable.
107	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	A control flow decision is made based on The block.number environment variable.
107	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
121	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	A control flow decision is made based on The block.number environment variable.
121	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

ETHx.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
17	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
18	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.

NodeELRewardVault.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
14	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
38	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

Penalty.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A Floating pragma is set.
14	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
122	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

PermissionedNodeRegistry.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
20	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
111	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
307	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
358	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
360	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
605	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

PermissionedPool.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A Floating pragma is set.
56	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
160	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
160	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
167	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
192	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.

PermissionlessNodeRegistry.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
21	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
234	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
246	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
258	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
260	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
275	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
288	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
289	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
552	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

PermissionlessPool.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A Floating pragma is set.
55	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
130	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
167	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
174	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
205	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.

PoolSelector.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
14	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
56	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
57	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.

PoolUtils.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

SDCollateral.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
16	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
243	(SWC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
244	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	High	The arithmetic operator can overflow.
248	(SWC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
249	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	High	The arithmetic operator can overflow.

SocializingPool.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
3	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
18	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
47	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
167	(SWC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
194	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

StaderConfig.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

StaderInsuranceFund.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
13	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
48	(SWC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
48	(SWC-107) Reentrancy	Low	A call to a user-supplied address is executed.
61	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

StaderOracle.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
15	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
98	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
167	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
205	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
228	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
225	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
241	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
254	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
282	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
356	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
415	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
449	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
461	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
558	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

StaderStakePoolsManager.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
3	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
55	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
63	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
103	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
142	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
221	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	A control flow decision is made based on The block.number environment variable.
221	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
241	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
273	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.
296	(SMC-113) DoS with Failed Call	Low	Multiple calls are executed in the same transaction.

UserWithdrawalManager.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
18	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
97	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
106	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.
140	(SMC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randomness.

ValidatorWithdrawalVault.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
18	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.
49	(SMC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

VaultFactory.sol

Line	SMC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SMC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.

UtilLib.sol

No output generated by MythX.

- The floating pragma was correctly flagged by MythX.
- `block.number` and `block.hash` are not used as a source of randomness.
- No major issues found by MythX.



THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

// HALBORN

